



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/808,499

03/25/2004

Hidekazu Miyairi

0756-7275

5721

31780

7590

05/21/2008

ERIC ROBINSON

PMB 955

21010 SOUTHBANK ST.

POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165

EXAMINER

WEST, JEFFREY R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2857

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/21/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/808,499	Applicant(s) MIYAIRI ET AL.	
	Examiner JEFFREY R. WEST	Art Unit 2857	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey R. West. (3)_____.

(2) Robert L. Pilaud (Reg. #53,470). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All - by discussion of independent claim subject matter.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,975,386 to Tsumura et al. and U.S. Patent No. 6,647,148 to Ozawa.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and the Examiner discussed the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed January 24, 2008, and additionally summarized the interview held November 15, 2007 wherein the Examiner agreed that while the newly amended claim appears to overcome the outstanding rejection, the Examiner maintains the need to fully reconsider the applicability of Tsumura and Ozawa with respect to the claimed limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey R. West/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2857

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required